

AERONAUTICAL CHARTING FORUM
Instrument procedures Group
April 29-30, 2002
HISTORY RECORD

FAA Control # 02-01-239

Subject: Minimum Vector Altitude (MVA) Obstacle Accountability; Lack of Diverse Vector Area (DVA) Criteria

Background/Discussion: During ALPA's review of the DP problems at San, Jose, California, we discovered that an OE-evaluated tower located on a mountain northeast of the airport violated MVA required obstacle clearance ("ROC"). The ensuing exchange with AT and AVN-100 revealed two issues: 1. AVN-100 states that determination of MVAs rests with local and regional ATC entities. 2. FAA ATC management in Washington asserts that any tower that does not appear on the applicable Sectional Aeronautical Chart need not be considered for MVA obstacle clearance purposes. In the circumstances at San Jose, when taking the tower height, with its associated accuracy code, the MVA may be as much as 300 feet shy of 1,000 feet of ROC. Further, according to AVN-100, MVA ROC is always rounded down instead of to the nearest 100 feet.

ALPA submits that an aircraft altimeter does not know when it is being radar vectored.

Another related issue that we submit as part of the this agenda item is the lack of any criteria for radar-vector diverse vector areas ("DVA") although the AIM asserts (excerpt attached) that pilots, when vectored below the MVA (permitted only on departure and missed approach) will always be vectored in a 40:1-clear DVA.

Because of an area of concern ALPA brought before ATPAC last year, the ATC 7110.65 Handbook, Paragraph 5-6-3, is being revised (change notice language attached) to make it clear that a departing or missed approach aircraft cannot be vectored below MVA except for the initial MVA area that overlies the departure end of the runway. 40:1-clear DVAs could be implemented at many locations to provide a safe exception to sustained vectors below the MVA. During our study of the MVA chart at San Jose, California we noted that vectors for departures and missed approaches to the southeast will become problematic for many aircraft because there is simply not room to apply delay vectors to assure MVA prior to entry into the next higher MVA sector. (Illustration attached.)

Recommendation: It is ALPA's view that Flight Standards Service is the sole safety and flight operations domain within the FAA charged with obstacle clearance for any and all instrument flight procedures, including MVA charts. Although ATC should fairly determine the boundary and "flow" of MVA charts, the determination of obstacle clearance therein should belong solely to AFS, as applied by AVN. This is no different than the concepts used for ATC DPs.

Further, Flight Standards should expeditiously develop long-overdue radar-vector DVA criteria, using valid scientific principles.

Comments: This affects TERPs criteria, the Aeronautical Information Manual, and pertinent ATS and AFS policies and areas of responsibility.

Submitted by: Captain Simon Lawrence

Organization: AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION

Phone: (703) 689-4176

FAX: (703) 464-2104

Date: April 1, 2002

Proposed change to 7110.65 that has been circulated to ATC facilities:

Vectors Below Minimum Vectoring Altitude

/*TER/ Pilots are always responsible for terrain separation. However, when an air traffic controller assigns a departure procedure or control instructions involving altitude assignments, pilots expect that compliance with those instructions will provide terrain separation.

A pilot has no immediate knowledge of the minimum assignable altitude since ATC may utilize diverse vector areas, minimum vectoring altitudes, and other altitudes authorized by FAAO 7110.65, Air Traffic Control. Paragraph 5-6-1 authorizes a controller to vector departing IFR aircraft at or above the minimum vectoring altitude or the minimum IFR altitude except as authorized for radar approaches, special VFR, VFR operations, or by Paragraph 5-6-3, Vectors Below Minimum Altitude. Paragraph 5-6-3 allows controllers to vector IFR aircraft below minimum IFR altitude (MIA), but only when specific requirements have been met.

When controllers vector or assign headings to IFR aircraft below minimum IFR altitude, the FAA assumes responsibility for terrain separation. Controllers may only vector aircraft, assign direct, or a climb on course when the aircraft has reached MIA or when terrain and obstruction clearance is being otherwise assured. FAAO 7110.65 Paragraph 5-6-3, Vectors Below Minimum Altitude, describes how this may be accomplished when vectors will be provided. (ATP120).

Reference in AIM to DVAs:

AIM 5-2-6c2. ATC may assume responsibility for obstacle clearance by vectoring the aircraft prior to minimum vectoring altitude by using a diverse vector area (DVA). The DVA has been assessed for departures that do not follow a specific ground track. ATC may also vector an aircraft off a previously assigned DP. In all cases, the 200 FPNM climb gradient is assumed and obstacle clearance is not provided by ATC until the controller begins to provide navigational guidance in the form of radar vectors.

INITIAL DISCUSSION (Meeting 02-01): New Issue presented by Wally Roberts, ALPA. Wally expressed concern that not all obstructions are accounted for in determining the MVA and therefore some MVAs are invalid. ALPA acknowledges that MVA chart (MVAC) design must remain under the purview of air traffic; however, the final MVA altitude for obstruction clearance must be validated by AVN under AFS policy guidance. Wally noted that MVACs are the most critical element used for obstruction in ATC today and should be made available to pilots, yet they are nearly impossible for the public to obtain. Marty Walker, ATP-120, noted that MVACs are owned by air traffic and public availability will have to be addressed through AAT. Wally further suggested that MVACs should be processed under 97 as SIAPs are, or as a Part 95 altitude. Wally then noted the variance between the 3 NM radar obstacle clearance within 40 NM of the radar antenna and the 4 NM pilot obstacle clearance requirement of Part 91.177. He also mentioned the Part 91.177 absolute 1000/2000 obstacle clearance requirements for pilots. MVAs, in many cases, allow reduction to the 2000' designated mountainous area ROC requirement "...to achieve compatibility with terminal routes or to permit vectoring to an IAP". These variances allow pilots to unwittingly violate Part 91.177 when accepting a radar vector. Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI), expressed that while MVAC design policy must remain within Air Traffic's realm, criteria for MVAC obstacle clearance should be expanded in TERPS and that the 200'AGL Assumed Adverse Obstacle concept should be a mandatory requirement to ensure obstacle clearance. Insofar as Wally's concern over the lack of diverse vector area (DVA) criteria, Norm LeFevre, AFS-420, responded that this criteria is in TERPS Change 19. ALPA will continue to address MVAC availability through AAT. Norm LeFevre took the IOU for AFS-420 to coordinate with AGC to determine whether MVACs should be processed under Part 95/97 and to consider expanding MVAC criteria in TERPS. **ACTION: ALPA and AFS-420.**

MEETING 02-02: Mark Ingram, ALPA, briefed that ALPA has had success in obtaining the Minimum Vectoring Altitude Chart (MVAC) files it requested through a FOIA. Earlier in the meeting, Mark provided an "Errant Vector" briefing (see item 3c) that demonstrated the use of MVACs in the cockpit as a CFIT preventative measure. The presentation also highlighted ALPA concerns in the current development, review, and approval process for MVACs. Specific examples of MVACs were displayed showing charts with improper vertical and lateral obstruction clearance, possible unnecessary ROC reductions in designated mountainous terrain areas, and sector design deficiencies. Mark stated that ALPA is also planning to seek that MVAs and MIAs be incorporated under PART 95 or 97. Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI), stated that the rulemaking process may not be a good idea for MVACs. If public availability is the primary goal, then that could be accommodated via other means. However, he agreed that there needed to be a cross-reference to radar vectors in Part 91.177. Bill further briefed that both AVN-160 and AFS-420 have begun looking at the issue of MVAC errors. AFS-420 has drafted new criteria for MVAC development for inclusion in TERPS. The new criteria will provide more comprehensive, standardized guidance for MVACs. The draft criteria were presented to the TERPS signatories on October 2nd, 2002 at the bi-annual TWG meeting. The draft criteria will be revised to accommodate comments received and then begin internal FAA coordination with AAT and AVN. It is planned to include these criteria in TERPS Change 20. AVN-500 has been tasked to look at developing an automated tool to assist air traffic facilities in developing MVACs and to aid AVN-100 in the review approval process. The Sectional Chart, which is currently specified by policy as the map source for MVACs, came under much criticism. Charles Branch, AVN-510, responded that the Sectional Chart is an excellent tool for which it was developed, VFR flight. It was not intended to be a detailed terrain and obstacle source for TERPS work. Bill stated that Sectional Charts were originally specified, as they are the only chart that depicts

the floor of controlled airspace. More detailed topographic charts such as Joint Operations Graphics (JOG) are scaled 1:250,000 are better suited to evaluate terrain over large areas, and even more detailed maps should be used when needed. Chart scale will be considered as policy is revised. **ACTION: AFS-420, AVN-160 and AVN-500.**

MEETING 03-01: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI), briefed that new criteria for minimum vectoring altitude chart (MVAC) development have been written for inclusion in TERPS Change 20. The criteria are currently undergoing internal coordination within ATP-100. Improved criterion is the first step in resolving the obstruction clearance portion of this issue. Bill also briefed that AVN-41 has undertaken an initiative to automate the MVAC review/approval process. (*Note: A briefing on the proof-of-concept and progress of the automation development process thus far was presented at the Charting Group portion of the ACF.*) In the interim, Brad Rush, AVN-101, stated that QC has been enhanced on MVAC reviews by AVN-100. Steve Bergner, NBAA, briefed that his organization sent a letter jointly to ATS-1 and AVR-1 requesting that MVA and MIA charts be placed under Part 95 to resolve the separation contradictions between the MVA criteria and Part 91.177, and that MVA and MIA charts be made available to the public for moving map displays. Steve briefed that Mr. Steven Brown, ATS-1, responded that new MVAC criterion for TERPS is under development; however, the public availability of MVA and MIA charts issue is still under review. The Part 91.177 and Part 95 issues were not addressed in the ATS-1 response. Kevin Comstock, ALPA, asked about the possibility of obtaining MIA charts. Pat Fair, ATA-130, responded that the MIA charts are now developed using ARTCC automation equipment and differ from Center to Center. Bill stated that the current Order 7210.37 requires MIA charts be developed using Sectional charts and asked if policy had changed. Pat responded that this process is no longer being followed. Unfortunately, there was no Air Traffic representative present to address the issue. Three IOUs were assigned: 1) AFS-420 will continue to develop MVAC criteria for TERPS; 2) ATP-100 will provide comments on the draft MVAC criteria; and, 3) AVN-41 will continue work on the automation tool for MVAC review. **ACTION: AFS-420, ATP-100, & AVN-41.**

MEETING 03-02: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI), briefed that as a result of this issue, a meeting between interested government/industry parties was held in Oklahoma City on August 14th. Discussion items included the public availability of MVA/MIA charts, the fidelity of the charts in use, the criteria and policy under which charts are developed, and the legal requirements of Parts 91.175 and 91.177 as they relate to MVA/MIA charts. Howard Swancy, AFS-4, who took the IOU to respond to the NBAA meeting's action items, updated the ACF on actions thus far. AFS-420 has developed new criteria for MVA chart development that will be included in TERPS change 20. The criteria has been coordinated through the TERPS Working Group (TWG) and is targeted to be released for public comment in early November. Howard briefed that internal FAA discussions have validated that AVN-100 is the approval authority for MVA/MIA charts. AVN-100 has increased scrutiny of the charts and is advising air traffic facilities when corrections are required. FAA will continue to assess the feasibility of an automation program for MVA/MIA chart development, review, and approval. Thus far, FAA will continue to allow required obstacle clearance (ROC) reductions in designated mountainous terrain areas; however, the application of the reductions will also receive an increased level of scrutiny. Howard briefed that he has received information from AVN-500 regarding personnel and financial impact on AVN-500 to release the MVA shape files. He is currently coordinating an AT position on the release of the data. FAA must also consider certification requirements for avionics displays

as well as pilot procedures for interpretation and use of the MVA/MIA data. Realizing the industry sense of urgency for the data, FAA will continue to study its release. Howard further briefed that AGC-2 has not responded thus far to the questions forwarded as a result of the August meeting. There are also legal liability questions surrounding whether FAA provides the data to industry. In closing, Howard briefed that the ongoing work is at the same level that would be achieved as if the Administrator was personally involved. He hopes to have the issue totally assessed and a response on the above issues to NBAA in November. Bill Hammett requested that Howard emphasize the automation effort at higher levels. At the August meeting, it was briefed that the FAA/AVN-41 automation effort was currently suspended. Also, at the August meeting, the USAF provided a demonstration of an automation tool that they have under development that appeared to be usable for both development and review of MVA/MIA charts. A good automation tool is a key item in more accurate, safer, MVA/MIA charts. Howard agreed to provide further updates on this issue to the ACF. **ACTION: AFS-4.**

MEETING 04-01: Steve Bergner, NBAA, briefed an April 5 NBAA press release that announced that FAA had agreed to release MVA/MIA chart data files to qualified aviation interests. In the press release, FAA emphasized that the data is released for informational purposes only and that there would be no changes in guidance for controller and pilot actions pertaining to ATC assigned altitudes. Steve noted that the first release is scheduled for May 31st. Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that the new, expanded criteria for MVAC development is still on schedule for inclusion in TERPS, Change 20. Brad Rush, AVN-101, briefed that previously noted MVAC errors had been forwarded to the applicable ATC facilities for correction. Brad also briefed that AVN has formed an ad-hoc group to resurrect the project to develop an MVA automation tool and that Air Traffic's ATA-40 will play a role in the project. It is planned that the tool will serve both the development and approval processes. Brad further briefed that once developed, the tool would be certified by AVN and that once certified, it should be possible for air traffic facilities to develop MVA/MIA charts using the tool without the need for further AVN review/approval. Tom Schneider, AFS-420, noted that while MVAC design must remain under the purview of the ATC facility, Flight Standards is the policy authority for instrument procedures. Frank Flood, Air Canada, recommended incorporating cold temperature adjustment in the MVA automation tool. This will provide a great opportunity to assess the cold temperature impact on air traffic. Kevin Comstock, ALPA, strongly endorsed the suggestion. Both NBAA and ALPA recommended ACF progress reports on the automation development. Brad agreed to provide progress reports. **ACTION: AVN-101.**

MEETING 04-02: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that due to the delay in processing TERPS changes caused by the revised Part 97.20, the new, expanded criteria for radar approaches and MVAC development is being processed as a FAA Notice. The draft Notice is currently in internal AFS coordination and will be coordinated through Air Traffic prior to implementation. Brad Rush, AVN-101, briefed that a MVA automation tool is still under development by Air Traffic (ATA-40) and a prototype should be available for demonstration in the near future. Mark Ingram, ALPA, stated that his office would be interested in attending any public demonstration.

Editor's Note: After the meeting, Brad Rush, AVN-101 agreed to coordinate with Barry Davis, Manager, Aeronautical Information Management, to check the feasibility of a demonstration of the MVA automation tool for the next ACF-IPG. **ACTION: AFS-420 and AVN-101.**

MEETING 05-01: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that draft Notice 8260.RADAR was circulated for comment and received a non-concur from Air Traffic. Larry Ramirez, the Air Traffic representative on the AFS-440 staff and Jack Corman, AFS-420 are tasked to resolve the non-concurrence and present an implementation plan to air traffic to minimize disruption to air traffic operations while assuring obstacle clearance is provided. However, RNP SAAAR criteria development and coordination has delayed this action. Expect 3-6 month delay on Notice implementation. Brad Rush, NFPO, briefed that a MVA automation tool is still under development by Air Traffic and a prototype should be available for demonstration within the FAA in late June. Mark Ingram, ALPA, requested that ALPA be advised when a public demonstration is available, as they would like to continue involvement in the issue. Tom Schneider, AFS-420, stated that he would try to arrange an ACF presentation when the software is ready for public viewing.

ACTION: AFS-420 and NFPO.

MEETING 05-02: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that a meeting was held on August 19 between the AFS-420 staff and the ATO liaison to AFS-400, Larry Ramirez, to discuss NOTICE 8260.RADAR and the ATO-T non-concurrence. The draft Notice was revised to mitigate the ATO concerns over the altitude rounding process and the revised draft was given to the ATO liaison to coordinate with ATO-T; however, no further comments have been received. Brad Rush briefed that Air traffic is actively pursuing modification of their Sector Design Automation Tool (SDAT) to include capability as MVA/MIA automation development tool. A meeting and demonstration of initial efforts was held in Oklahoma City on June 28. In addition to FAA participants, representatives from ALPA and NBAA attended. Work is progressing and another meeting is tentatively scheduled for mid-December. AFS-420 will continue to track progress on the revised criteria and work with the AT representative on the AFS-400 staff to resolve non-concurrence. The NFPG will continue to provide progress reports on the automated MVAC development tool.

ACTION: AFS-420, ATO-T, and NFPG.

MEETING 06-01: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that the last non-concur (ATO-E) has been lifted. All concerns have now been mitigated and NOTICE 8260.RADAR will be forwarded for AFS-1 signature next week. The initiative to expand the Sector Design Automation Tool (SDAT) to include capability for automated MVA/MIA chart development is progressing well. A field test was conducted on the Atlanta ARTCC MIA chart early in the year and a follow-on test is currently in progress on the Washington ARTCC MIA. Coordination is still on-going to conduct MVAC analysis at several Terminal facilities. A meeting is scheduled in Oklahoma City on May 9-10 to evaluate the Atlanta MIA test and begin validation of the software. Mark Ingram (ALPA) asked whether the evaluation would be open to the public. Bill responded that he would check with the project manager. **ACTION:** AFS-420.

Editor's Note: The NOTICE was forwarded for AFS-1 signature on April 19. Coordination with the SDAT project manager indicates that the Oklahoma City meeting will be FAA-only. A demonstration of the automation software will be requested for a future ACF.

MEETING 06-02: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that the previously briefed MVA criteria was finally accepted and published as FAA NOTICE 8260.57 on June 8, 2006. The NOTICE is good for one year and will be included in a near-future change to TERPS as Volume 1, Section 10. The Air Traffic initiative to expand the Sector Design Automation Tool (SDAT) to include capability for automated MVA/MIA chart development is progressing well. A second meeting is scheduled in Oklahoma City on November 14-16 evaluate the Washington ARTCC MIA results and assess the software. Tony Rubiera, AJR-32 (CNA), provided a briefing and demonstration of the SDAT software. A copy of Tony's briefing slides is included here . The software appears to evaluate terrain and obstruction data both within sectors and specified buffer areas to correctly calculate a MIA/MVA. There is also a capability to consider floor of controlled airspace rules; however, there are still questions within Air Traffic regarding applicability. These questions will be addressed during the Nov 14-16 meeting. Mike Clayton asked whether the SDAT tool considers horizontal and vertical obstacle accuracy codes on man-made obstructions. The response was no. Danny Hamilton, AJW-321, asked whether the final product can be transposed on a Sectional Chart. The response was yes. Tony briefed that FAA is currently assessing MIA charts for 6 ARTCCs and MVA charts for 2 TRACONS. Bill recommended that since the NFPG has strengthened the manual review process and AFS has published criteria for MVA charts, the issue be closed. Although related, the ATO automation effort is a separate initiative and was not part of the original issue. Rich Boll, NBAA, and Mark Ingram, ALPA, stated that they would like the issue kept open until the software is developed and approved as it does relate to the original issue of MVA accuracy. Bill agreed to keep the issue open.

ACTION: AFS-420.

MEETING 07-01: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that validation of the MVA and MIA software for the Sector Design Automation Tool (SDAT) is complete. After participating in several field tests in both ARTCCs and TRACONS, both AFS-400 and the NFPO are satisfied that the software applies the rules in FAA Notice 8260.57 for MVA charts and Order 7210.37 for MIA charts. A memo confirming this assessment has been forwarded to the appropriate ATO Service Units. All that remains is for the ATO to mandate SDAT use by field units for MVA and MIA development and submission. Bill added that, although the software will apply the rules correctly, AFS-400 has expressed concern to the En Route Service Unit that ATC facility staff specialists are not using all the software features to ensure policy compliance. For example, Order 7210.37 requires MIAs to be 300' above the floor of controlled airspace. During the SDAT evaluations of Atlanta and Washington ARTCCs, airspace was not considered in computing MIAs. This was confirmed through analysis of the results and conversations with the facility specialists. The result is that some MIAs are actually in uncontrolled airspace. AFS-400 has also met resistance in requiring a 200' adverse assumption obstacle (AAO) additive be made when computing an MIA. An AAO additive is required for MVA computations as well as other TERPS procedural segments to ensure clearance over unreported obstructions up to 200' above ground level (14 CFR Part 77.13). AFS is in continuing dialog with the En Route Service Unit regarding these issues.

The following additional update items were provided by the SDAT project manager for inclusion in the minutes:

- SDAT version 5.10 was released to the field in January 2007. This version contains updates and enhancements requested by both Flight Standards and the NFPO from the November, 2006 meeting.

- Development of a SDAT Project Repository has begun. The repository will provide assistance to users with the design-submit-publish workflow of MVA and MIA charts. The Repository includes a web-based interface to MVA/MIA charts designed in SDAT; a beta-version was successfully field tested by NFPO during the ZDV and ZMP MIA chart reviews.
- The SDAT team continues to assist Air Traffic field units in updating MVA/MIA charts and demonstrating the advantages of SDAT. To date the team has assisted 13 ARTCCs and 5 TRACONs in using SDAT to update their MIA and MVA charts. The team's goal is to complete all ARTCCs by December 2007 and this initiative is on track.
- The SDAT Team met with NACO in April to discuss transferring SDAT MVA data electronically for printing paper charts and creating radar video maps. A format for data exchange was agreed to.
- The SDAT Team met with the NFPO to discuss PTS/SDAT integration and to train NFPO trainers on SDAT use for chart reviews.

Wally Roberts, NBAA, briefed that his organization has been in contact with Luis Ramirez, Director of Safety and Operations Support, AJE-3. NBAA requested the opportunity to review draft Order 7210.37 and was provided a copy to review off-line. Wally added that during conversation, Luis stated that en route facilities develop MIA charts using the guidelines specified in Order 8260.19. Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) noted that while that may be true for the current version of Order 8260.19C, the En Route Service Unit had non-concurred with 8260.19D. AFS-400 has responded to the AJE-0 comments and is awaiting their response. Brad Rush, AJW-321, recommended that the SDAT Team work with the IAPA team and AFS-420 to include FAA approved precipitous terrain algorithms in SDAT. AFS-420 and AJW-321 will continue to track software development. **ACTION: AFS-420 and AJW-321).**

MEETING 07-02: Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) briefed that the Sector Design Automation Tool (SDAT) software enhancement for MVA and MIA chart development has been successfully field tested at all ARTCCs and several TRACONs. Both AVN and AFS-400 have officially supported the MVA/MIA software and recommend mandating its use by all AT facilities. The AFS-400 concerns regarding Air Traffic application of controlled airspace policy and the lack of an AAO additive in MIA computations have been mitigated. The NFPO is ensuring controlled airspace requirements are met during the approval process as required by Order 8260.19D. The Air Traffic System Operations Service Unit non-concur to Order 8260.19D has been lifted. Additionally, the adverse assumed obstacle (AAO) additive required for MVAs has also been included in draft Order 7210.37 for MIA charts. The following synopsis of major accomplishments since the last ACF-IPG was provided by the SDAT Team:

- In May, SDAT version 5.11, which contains the SDAT project repository, was released. The repository is a centralized database for storing MVA/MIA projects, and it includes a web interface that allows electronic review of MVA/MIA charts.

- On-site visits to field facilities were accomplished to provide hands-on training in using SDAT to design and publish their MVA/MIA charts. By the end of October, the Team will have visited and completed work for all En Route centers and fourteen terminal facilities.
- Several enhancements to SDAT were implemented, including the ability to display USGS topographical maps for terrain contours. The MVA/MIA calculations were updated to consider vegetation height, and to automatically include the adverse assumed obstacle (AAO) in MIA charts.
- The team worked with NACO to have SDAT export MVA charts in a shape file format that they are using for the generation of radar video maps.
- The Team began building a web service that will query the SDAT obstacle clearance calculation to determine whether a proposed obstacle would require an increase to an existing MVA or MIA. The OE/AAA program will use this service in evaluating proposed new obstructions.

Future plans include the following:

- Continue to assist field facilities in using SDAT for MIA and MVA chart design; multi-facility site visits are scheduled for October and November. A training program for terminal facilities is under development.
- Finalize and implement the obstacle clearance checking system that OE/AAA will use to evaluate proposed obstructions for impact on existing MVA/MIA areas.
- Work with the USGS to increase the speed of the query we use to identify the controlling terrain point for each MVA/MIA area.
- Work with the NFPO to establish the electronic chart review process, including integrating the SDAT project repository with the NFPO procedure tracking system

Bill recommended the issue be closed. The group agreed. [Issue Closed](#).
